















On the use of satellite soil moisture data in spatio-temporal model calibration for a Mediterranean catchment. C. Echeverría⁽¹⁾ (carec@doctor.upv.es), G. Ruiz-Pérez^(1,2) (guiomar.ruiz.perez@slu.se), and F. Francés⁽¹⁾ (ffrances@upv.es)

(1) Research Group of Hydrological and Environmental Modelling (GIHMA), Research Institute of Water and Environmental Engineering (IIAMA), Universitat Politècnica de València, Valencia, Spain (2) Department of Crop Production Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU), Uppsala, Sweden

> Instituto de Ingeniería del Agua y Medio Ambiente



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research leading to these results has received funding by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness through the TETISMED project (CGL2014-58127-C3-3-R). and from the Paraguayan government by its funding for research program called BECAL The satellite soil moisture data were obtained through the BEC-SMOS website (http://bec.icm.csic.es/) The meteorological data were provided by the Spanish National Weather Agency (AEMET) and the Agroclimatic Information System for Irrigation (SIAR).

REFERENCES

[1] G. Ruiz-Pérez, J. Koch, S. Manfreda, K. Caylor and F. Francés. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 21, 6235-6251, 2017 [2] D. Finger, M. Vis, M. Huss and J. Seibert. (2015) Water Resources Research, 51, 1939-1958, 2015 3] GIMHA. 2018. Description of the distributed conceptual hydrological model TETIS v.9.0.1. Universitat

]						
	Common characteristics					
	-> Warm-up period: 2008-2009					
(Q)	-> Calibration period: 2010-2012					
	-> Validation period: 2013-2015					
	-> 24 parameters (9 hydrological and 15 vegetation)					
	**SME					
(Q) and	-> It is a function to evaluate the performance of the simulated soil moisture					
	compared to the observed soil moisture, composed by two parts:					
	1) KGE index between simulated and observed soil moisture pixel by pixel					
	2) a metric based on the similarity between the first five spatial principal					
components of simulated and observed soil moisture (EOF methodology);						
and	$SME = \frac{P_1 + P_2}{2}$					
	$P_{1} = \frac{\sum_{np=1}^{\#pixels} [KGE(sm_{obs}\&sm_{sim}; \forall KGE \ge threshold)]}{total number of pixels}$					
and	$P_2 = \frac{\sum_{pc=1}^{5} [KGE(EOF - loadings_{obs} \& EOF - loadings_{sim})]}{5}$					
	5					

Table 1. Performance of metrics for the three approaches in calibration and validation period.

	Configuration 1	Configuration 2	Configuration 3
	Mono-Objective	Multi-Objective	Multi-Objective
,	KGE	KGE	KGE
	-	BE	SME
	Q	Q/Q	Q/SM
	Temporal	Temporal/Temporal	Temporal/Spatio-Temporal
	KGE=0.7187	KGE=0.7675 BE=8.3444	KGE=0.8889 SME=0.6339
	KGE=0.1011	KGE=0.3182 BE=30.60	KGE=0.5168 SME=0.5836
	ΔKGE=0.6176 (-)	ΔKGE=0.4493(-) ΔBE=22.2556(+)	ΔKGE=0.3721(-) ΔSME=0.0503(-)

2/2012)		Validation period (01/01/2013 - 31/12/2015)				
onfiguration 2	Configuration 3	Characteristic	Configuration 1	Configuration 2	Configuration 3	
26.491	26.491	Maximum observed discharge [m3/s]	34.206	34.206	34.206	
32.0023	28.325	Maximum simulated discharge [m3/s]	54.9366	49.1602	33.4437	
08/03/2010	08/03/2010	Observed peak time	25/03/2015	25/03/2015	25/03/2015	
13/01/2010	07/03/2010	Simulated peak time	03/12/2014	05/12/2014	26/03/2015	
54	1	Peak time error	112	110	-1	
698502	698502	Observed volume [Hm3]	530081.1	530081.1	530081.1	
673262.8	639267.3	Simulated volume [Hm3]	756652.4	692070.8	707673.8	
16.1635	16.1635	Observed Q95	10.0714	10.0714	10.0714	
12.77165	14.56495	Simulated Q95	12.682	11.88539	10.91004	
5.218	5.218	Observed Q50	4.412	4.412	4.412	
5.8498	5.0376	Simulated Q50	7.0652	5.797	6.5004	





MINISTERIO **DE ECONOMIA Y COMPETITIVIDAD**